arXiv:hep-th/0405075v2 25 Jun 2004

Microscopic interpretation of black hole entropy

M. Cvitan[1], S. Pallua[2], and P. Prester[3]

[1] `E-mail: mcvitan@phy.hr`
[2] `E-mail: pallua@phy.hr`
[3] `E-mail: pprester@phy.hr`
Theoretical Physics Department,
Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics,
University of Zagreb,
Bijenička c. 32, pp. 331, HR–10002 Zagreb, Croatia

Summary. It is shown, using conformal symmetry methods, that one can obtain microscopic interpretation of black hole entropy for general class of higher curvature Lagrangians.

1 Introduction

The entropy of black holes can be calculated with the well known Bekenstein-Hawking formula

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{4\pi G} \ , \tag{1}$$

where A represents area of black hole horizon. In fact generalisation of this formula is given in [1] for general interaction of the form

$$L = L(g_{ab}, R_{abcd}, \nabla R_{abcd}, \psi, \nabla\psi, ...) \ . \tag{2}$$

Here ψ refers to matter fields and dots refer to derivatives up to order m. In that case the entropy is given with the relation [1]

$$S = -2\pi \int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon} E^{abcd} \eta_{ab} \eta_{cd} \ . \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathcal{H} \cap C$ is a cross section of the horizon, η_{ab} denotes binormal to $\mathcal{H} \cap C$, $\hat{\epsilon}$ is induced volume element on $\mathcal{H} \cap C$ and

$$E^{abcd} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{abcd}} - \nabla_{a_1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_{a_1} R_{abcd}} + \dots (-)^m \nabla_{(a_1 \dots a_m)} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \nabla_{(a_1 \dots a_m)} R_{abcd}} \ . \tag{4}$$

The problem of microscopic description of black hole entropy was approached by different methods like string theory which treated extremal black holes [2] or e.g. loop quantum gravity [3]. An interesting line of approach is based on conformal field theory and Virasoro algebra. One particular formulation was due to Solodukhin who reduced the problem of D-dimesional black holes to effective two-dimensional theory with fixed boundary conditions on the horizon. The effective theory was found to admit Virasoro algebra near horizon. Calculation of its central charge allows then to compute the entropy [4, 5]. An independent formulation is due to Carlip [6, 7, 8, 9] who has shown that under certain simple assumptions on boundary conditions near black hole horizon one can identify a subalgebra of algebra of diffeomorphisms which turns out to be Virasoro algebra. The fixed boundary conditions give rise to central extensions of this algebra. The entropy is then calculated from Cardy formula [10]

$$S_c = 2\pi\sqrt{(\frac{c}{6} - 4\Delta_g)(\Delta - \frac{c}{24})}\ . \tag{5}$$

Here Δ is the eigenvalue of Virasoro generator L_0 for the state we calculate the entropy and Δ_g is the smallest eigenvalue. In that way the entropy formula (1) for Einstein gravity was reproduced. In this lecture we want to investigate if such microscopic interpretation is possible for more general type of interaction. We shall first treat Gauss-Bonnet gravity using Solodukhin method and then using Carlip method. The latter method will allow us to treat more general cases. These are described with Lagrangian which is allowed to have arbitrary dependence on Riemann tensor but not on its derivatives, more precisely

$$L = L(g_{ab}, R_{abcd})\ . \tag{6}$$

In that case the tensor E^{abcd} takes the form

$$E^{abcd} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{abcd}}\ . \tag{7}$$

We note that interesting new posibilities and open questions arise for interpretation of black hole entropy. For discussion in the Gauss–Bonnet case see e.g. [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

2 Effective CFT near the horizon

Now we turn our attention to particular microscopic derivation of entropy of black hole, which was first done in [4] for the Einstein gravity, and then extended to general D-dimensional Gauss–Bonnet (GB) theories in [5]. General GB action[4] is given by

$$I_{\mathrm{GB}} = -\sum_{m=0}^{[D/2]} \lambda_m \int d^D x \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m(g)\ , \tag{8}$$

where GB densities $\mathcal{L}_m(g)$ are

$$\mathcal{L}_m(g) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^m}\delta^{\rho_1\sigma_1\cdots\rho_m\sigma_m}_{\mu_1\nu_1\cdots\mu_m\nu_m} R^{\mu_1\nu_1}{}_{\rho_1\sigma_1}\cdots R^{\mu_m\nu_m}{}_{\rho_m\sigma_m}\ , \tag{9}$$

We take $\lambda_0 = 0$ (cosmological constant), because we shall see that this term is irrelevant for our calculation. Coupling constant λ_1 is related to more familiar D-dimensional Newton gravitational constant G_D through $\lambda_1 = (16\pi G_D)^{-1}$.

---

[4] Also known as Lovelock gravity.

We neglect matter and consider S-wave sector of the theory, i.e., we consider only radial fluctuations of the metric. It is easy to show that in this case (8) can be written in the form of an effective two-dimensional "generalised higher-order Liouville theory" given with

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathrm{GB}} = \varOmega_{D-2} \sum_{m=0}^{[D/2]} \lambda_m \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2m)!} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma}\, r^{D-2m-2} \left[1-(\nabla r)^2\right]^{m-2} \\ \times \Big\{ 2m(m-1)r^2 \left[(\nabla_a \nabla_b r)^2 - (\nabla^2 r)^2\right] \\ +2m(D-2m) r \nabla^2 r \left[1-(\nabla r)^2\right] + m\mathcal{R} r^2 \left[1-(\nabla r)^2\right] \\ -(D-2m)(D-2m-1)\left[1-(\nabla r)^2\right]^2 \Big\} \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (10)$$

We now suppose that black hole with horizon *is existing* and we are interested in fluctuations (or, better, quantum states) near it. In the spherical geometry apparent horizon $\mathcal{H}$ (a line in x-plane) can be defined by the condition [17]

$$(\nabla r)^2\big|_{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \gamma^{ab} \partial_a r \partial_b r\big|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \ . \qquad (11)$$

Notice that (11) is invariant under (regular) conformal rescalings of the effective two-dimensional metric γ_{ab}. Near the horizon (11) is approximately satisfied. It is easy to see that after partial integration and implementation of horizon condition $(\nabla r)^2 \approx 0$, (10) becomes near the horizon approximately

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathrm{GB}} = -\varOmega_{D-2} \sum_{m=0}^{[D/2]} \lambda_m \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2m-2)!} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma}\, r^{D-2m-2} \\ \times \left\{ m(\nabla r)^2 - \frac{m}{(D-2m)(D-2m-1)} \mathcal{R} r^2 + 1 \right\} \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (12)$$

If we define

$$\varPhi^2 \equiv 2\varOmega_{D-2} \sum_{m=1}^{[D/2]} m \lambda_m \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2m)!} r^{D-2m} \ , \qquad (13)$$

and make reparametrizations

$$\phi \equiv \frac{2\varPhi^2}{q\varPhi_h} \ , \qquad \tilde{\gamma}_{ab} \equiv \frac{d\phi}{dr} \gamma_{ab} \ , \qquad (14)$$

where q is arbitrary dimensionless parameter, the action (12) becomes

$$I_{\mathrm{GB}} = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\tilde{\gamma}} \left[\frac{1}{4} q \varPhi_h \phi \tilde{\mathcal{R}} - V(\phi) \right] \qquad (15)$$

This action can be put in more familiar form if we make additional conformal reparametrization:

$$\bar{\gamma}_{ab} \equiv e^{-\frac{2\phi}{q\varPhi_h}} \tilde{\gamma}_{ab} \ , \qquad (16)$$

Now (15) takes the form

$$I_{\mathrm{GB}} = -\int d^2x\sqrt{-\bar{\gamma}}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}q\Phi_h\phi\bar{\mathcal{R}} + U(\phi)\right] \;, \tag{17}$$

which is simmilar to the Liouville action. The difference is that potential $U(\phi)$ is not purely exponential which means that the obtained effective theory is not exactly conformaly invariant.

Action (17) is of the same form as that obtained from pure Einstein action. In [4] it was shown that if one imposes condition that the metric $\bar{\gamma}_{ab}$ is *nondynamical* then the action (17) describes CFT *near the horizon*[5]. We therefore fix $\bar{\gamma}_{ab}$ near the horizon and take it to be a metric of a static spherically symmetric black hole:

$$d\bar{s}^2_{(2)} \equiv \bar{\gamma}_{ab}dx^a dx^b = -f(w)dt^2 + \frac{dw^2}{f(w)} \;, \tag{18}$$

where near the horizon $f(w_h) = 0$ we have

$$f(w) = \frac{2}{\beta}(w - w_h) + O\left((w - w_h)^2\right) \;. \tag{19}$$

We now make coordinate reparametrization $w \to z$

$$z = \int^w \frac{dw}{f(w)} = \frac{\beta}{2}\ln\frac{w - w_h}{f_0} + O(w - w_h) \tag{20}$$

in which 2-dim metric has a simple form

$$d\bar{s}^2_{(2)} = f(z)\left(-dt^2 + dz^2\right) \;, \tag{21}$$

and the function f behaves near the horizon ($z_h = -\infty$) as

$$f(z) \approx f_0 e^{2z/\beta} \;, \tag{22}$$

i.e., it *exponentially* vanishes. It is easy to show that equation of motion for ϕ which follows from Eqs. (17), (21), (22) is

$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_z^2\right)\phi = \frac{1}{4}q\Phi_h\bar{\mathcal{R}}f + fU'(\phi) \approx O\left(e^{2z/\beta}\right) \;, \tag{23}$$

and that the “flat” trace of the energy-momentum tensor is

$$-T_{00} + T_{zz} = \frac{1}{4}q\Phi_h\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_z^2\right)\phi - fU(\phi) \approx O\left(e^{2z/\beta}\right) \;, \tag{24}$$

which is exponentially vanishing near the horizon[6]. From (23) and (24) follows that the theory of the scalar field ϕ exponentially approaches CFT near the horizon.

Now, one can construct corresponding Virasoro algebra using standard procedure. Using light-cone coordinates $z_\pm = t \pm z$ right-moving component of energy–momentum tensor near the horizon is approximately

$$T_{++} = (\partial_+\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}q\Phi_h\partial_+^2\phi + \frac{q\Phi_h}{2\beta}\partial_+\phi \;. \tag{25}$$

---

[5] Carlip showed that above condition is indeed consistent boundary condition [7].

[6] Higher derivative terms in (10) make contribution to (24) proportional to $f(\nabla\phi)^2 \approx o(\exp(2z/\beta))$.

It is important to notice that horizon condition (11) implies that r and ϕ are (approximately) functions only of one light-cone coordinate (we take it to be z_+), which means that only one set of modes (left *or* right) is contributing.

Virasoro generators are coefficients in the Fourier expansion of T_{++}:

$$T_n = \frac{\ell}{2\pi} \int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} dz\, e^{i2\pi nz/\ell} T_{++} \ , \tag{26}$$

where we compactified z-coordinate on a circle of circumference ℓ. Using canonical commutation relations it is easy to show that Poisson brackets of T_n's are given with

$$i\{T_n, T_m\}_{\mathrm{PB}} = (n-m)T_{n+m} + \frac{\pi}{4} q^2 \Phi_h^2 \left(n^3 + n \left(\frac{\ell}{2\pi\beta} \right)^2 \right) \delta_{n+m,0} \ . \tag{27}$$

To obtain the algebra in quantum theory (at least in semiclassical approximation) one replaces Poisson brackets with commutators using $[\,,] = i\hbar\{\,,\}_{\mathrm{PB}}$, and divide generators by $\hbar$. From (27) it follows that "shifted" generators

$$L_n = \frac{T_n}{\hbar} + \frac{c}{24} \left(\left(\frac{\ell}{2\pi\beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \delta_{n,0} \ , \tag{28}$$

where

$$c = 3\pi q^2 \frac{\Phi_h^2}{\hbar} \ , \tag{29}$$

satisfy Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12} \left(n^3 - n \right) \delta_{n+m,0} \tag{30}$$

with central charge c given in (29).

Outstanding (and unique, as far as is known) property of the Virasoro algebra is that in its representations a logarithm of the number of states (i.e., entropy) with the eigenvalue of L_0 equal to Δ is asymptoticaly given with Cardy formula (5). If we assume that in our case $\Delta_g = 0$ in semiclassical approximation (more precisely, $\Delta_g \ll c/24$), one can see that number of microstates (purely quantum quantity) is in leading approximation completely determined by (semi)classical values of c and L_0. Now it only remains to determine Δ. In a classical black hole solution we have

$$r = w = w_h + (w - w_h) \approx r_h + f_0 e^{2z/\beta} \ , \tag{31}$$

so from (14) and (13) follows that near the horizon $\phi \approx \phi_h$. Using this configuration in (26) one obtains $T_0 = 0$, which plugged in (28) gives

$$\Delta = \frac{c}{24} \left(\left(\frac{\ell}{2\pi\beta} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \ . \tag{32}$$

Finally, using (29) and (32) in Cardy formula (5) one obtains

$$S_{\mathrm{C}} = \frac{c}{12} \frac{\ell}{\beta} = \frac{\pi}{4} q^2 \frac{\ell}{\beta} \frac{\Phi_h^2}{\hbar} \ . \tag{33}$$

Let us now compare (33) with classical formula (3), which for GB gravities can be written as [18]

$$S_{\mathrm{GB}} = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{m=1}^{[D/2]} m\lambda_m \oint d^{D-2}x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \mathcal{L}_{m-1}(\tilde{g}_{ij}) \ , \tag{34}$$

Here $\tilde{g}_{ij}$ is induced metric on the horizon, and densities $\mathcal{L}_m$ are given in (9). In the sphericaly symmetric case horizon is a $(D-2)$-dimensional sphere with radius r_h and $R(\tilde{g}_{ij}) = -(D-2)(D-3)/r_h^2$, so (34) becomes

$$S_{\mathrm{GB}} = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} \Omega_{D-2} \sum_{m=1}^{[D/2]} m\lambda_m \frac{(D-2)!}{(D-2m)!} r^{D-2m} = 2\pi \frac{\Phi_h^2}{\hbar} \tag{35}$$

Using this our expression (33) can be written as

$$S_{\mathrm{C}} = \frac{q^2}{8} \frac{\ell}{\beta} S_{\mathrm{GB}} \ , \tag{36}$$

so it gives correct result apart from dimensionless coeficient, which can be determined in the same way as in pure Einstein case [7]. First, it is natural to set the compactification period ℓ equal to period of Euclidean-rotated black hole[7], i.e.,

$$\ell = 2\pi\beta \ . \tag{37}$$

The relation between eigenvalue Δ of L_0 and c then becomes

$$\Delta = \frac{c}{12} \ . \tag{38}$$

One could be tempted to expect this relation to be valid for larger class of black holes and interactions then those treated so far.

To determine dimensionless parameter q we note that our effective theory given with (17) depends on effective parameters Φ_h and β, and thus one expects that q depends on coupling constants only through dimensionless combinations of them. Thus to determine q one may consider $\lambda_2 = 0$ case and compare expression for central charge (29) with that obtained in [9], which is

$$c = \frac{3A_h}{2\pi\hbar G_D} \ , \tag{39}$$

where $A_h = \Omega_{D-2} r_h^{D-2}$ is the area of horizon. One obtains that

$$q^2 = \frac{4}{\pi} \ . \tag{40}$$

One could also perform boundary analysis of Ref. [9] for GB gravity (see Appendix of [5]). This procedure gives $\Delta = \Phi_h^2/\hbar$ which combined with (29) and (38) gives (40).

Using (37) and (40) one finally obtains desired result

$$S_{\mathrm{C}} = S_{\mathrm{GB}} \ . \tag{41}$$

Let us mention that there were other approaches to calculation of black hole entropy using Virasoro algebra of near-horizon symmetries of effective 2-dim QFT (see [19]).

---

[7] We note that our functions depend only on variable z_+, so the periodicity properties in time t are identical to those in z.

3 Covariant phase space formulation of gravity

As mentioned before there is another method in which one is not using dimensional reduction. The emphasis will be in assuming appropriate boundary conditions near horizon of black hole. In this approach it will turn out to be useful to use the covariant phase space formulation of gravity [20, 21]. For this reason we shall here review it shortly for any diffeomophism invariant theory with the Lagrangian D-form

$$\mathbf{L}[\Phi] = \epsilon L(\Phi) \ . \tag{42}$$

Here Φ denotes collection of fields, ϵ is the volume D-form. Then one can calculate the variation

$$\delta\mathbf{L}[\phi] = \delta\mathbf{E}[\phi]\delta\phi + d\mathbf{\Theta}[\phi, \delta\phi] \ . \tag{43}$$

The $(D-1)$-form, called symplectic potential for Lagrangians of type (6) was shown in [1] to be

$$\Theta_{pa_1,\dots a_{n-2}} = 2\epsilon_{apa_1\dots a_{n-2}}(E^{abcd}\nabla_d\delta g_{bc} - \nabla_d E^{abcd}\delta g_{bc}) \ . \tag{44}$$

To any vector field ξ we can associate a Noether current $(D-1)$-form

$$\mathbf{J}[\xi] = \mathbf{\Theta}[\phi, \mathcal{L}_\xi\phi] - \xi\cdot\mathbf{L} \ , \tag{45}$$

and the Noether charge $(D-2)$-form

$$\mathbf{J} = d\mathbf{Q} \ . \tag{46}$$

For all diffeomorphism invariant theories the Hamiltonian is a pure surface term [1]

$$\delta H[\xi] = \int_{\partial C}(\delta\mathbf{Q}[\xi] - \xi\cdot\mathbf{\Theta}[\phi, \delta\phi]) \ . \tag{47}$$

The integrability condition requires that a $(D-1)$-form $\mathbf{B}$ exists with the property

$$\delta\int_{\partial C}\xi\cdot\mathbf{B} = \int_{\partial C}\xi\cdot\mathbf{\Theta} \ , \tag{48}$$

where C is a Cauchy surface. Then (47) can be integrated to give

$$H[\xi] = \int_{\partial C}(\mathbf{Q}[\xi] - \xi\cdot\mathbf{B}) \ . \tag{49}$$

As bulk terms of H vanish, variation of $H[\xi]$ is equal to variations of boundary term $J[\xi]$. As explained in [9, 22], that enables one to obtain the Dirac bracket $\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D$

$$\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D = \int_{\partial C}(\xi_2\cdot\mathbf{\Theta}[\phi, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\phi] - \xi_1\cdot\mathbf{\Theta}[\phi, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\phi] - \xi_2\cdot(\xi_1\cdot\mathbf{L})) \ , \tag{50}$$

and the algebra

$$\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D = J[\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}] + K[\xi_1, \xi_2] \ , \tag{51}$$

with K as central extension.

Using (44), we get a more explicit form

$$\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D = \int_{\partial C} \epsilon_{apa_1\cdots a_{n-2}} \big(\xi_2^p E^{abcd} \nabla_d \delta_1 g_{bc} - \xi_1^p \nabla_d E^{abcd} \delta_2 g_{bc} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \big) - \xi_2 \cdot (\xi_1 \cdot \mathbf{L}) \; . \tag{52}$$

4 Boundary conditions on horizon

The main idea of the second approach mentioned in the introduction is to impose existence of Killing horizon and a class of boundary conditions on it proposed by Carlip [9] for Einstein gravity. (For alternative discussions of this method see also [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]). We shall assume the validity of these boundary conditions also for the interactions treated in this paper. The Killing horizon in D-dimensional spacetime M with boundary ∂M has a Killing vector χ^a with the property

$$\chi^2 = g_{ab}\chi^a\chi^b = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \partial M \; . \tag{53}$$

One defines near horizon spatial vector ϱ_a

$$\nabla_a \chi^2 = -2\kappa \varrho_a \; . \tag{54}$$

We require that variations satisfy

$$\frac{\chi^a\chi^b}{\chi^2}\delta g_{ab} \to 0, \quad \chi^a t^a \delta g_{ab} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \chi^2 \to 0 \; . \tag{55}$$

Here χ^a and ϱ_a are kept fixed, t^a is any unit spacelike vector tangent to ∂M. One considers diffeomorphism generated by vector fields

$$\xi^a = T\chi^a + R\varrho^a \; , \tag{56}$$

Boundary conditions together with the closure of algebra imply

$$R = \frac{\chi^2}{\kappa\varrho^2}\chi^a\nabla_a T \quad , \quad \varrho^a \nabla_a T = 0 \; . \tag{57}$$

An additional requirement will be necessary as already explained in [9]. With the help of acceleration of an orbit

$$a^a = \chi^b \nabla_b \chi^a \; , \tag{58}$$

we define

$$\hat{\kappa}^2 = -\frac{a^2}{\chi^2} \; . \tag{59}$$

We ask that

$$\delta \int_{\partial C} \hat{\epsilon}(\hat{\kappa} - \frac{\varrho}{|\chi|}\kappa) = 0 \; . \tag{60}$$

This condition will (see last section) guarantee existence of generators $H[\xi]$ and for diffeomorphisms (56) will imply

$$\int_{\partial C} \hat{\epsilon}\, \dddot{T} = 0 \ , \tag{61}$$

and for one parameter group of diffeomorphisms the orthogonality relations

$$\int_{\partial C} \hat{\epsilon} T_n T_m = \delta_{n+m,0} \ . \tag{62}$$

In order to calculate central term from (51) we shall use equation (52) where we shall integrate over $(D-2)$-dimensional surface $\mathcal{H} \cap C$ which is the intersection of Killing horizon with the Cauchy surface C. In addition to Killing vector χ^a we introduce other future directed null normal

$$N^a = k^a - \alpha\chi^a - t^a \ , \tag{63}$$

where t^a is tangent to $\mathcal{H} \cap C$, and

$$k^a = -\frac{(\chi^a - \varrho^a \frac{|\chi|}{|\varrho|})}{\chi^2} \ . \tag{64}$$

In this way

$$\epsilon_{bca_1 \ldots a_{n-2}} = \epsilon_{a_1 \cdots a_{n-2}} \eta_{bc} \ , \tag{65}$$

and

$$\eta_{ab} = 2\chi_{[b} N_{c]} = \frac{2}{|\chi||\varrho|} \varrho_{[a}\chi_{b]} + t_{[a}\chi_{b]} \ . \tag{66}$$

We proceed now to evaluate the first term of the integrand of (52) in the leading order in χ^2. Using boundary conditions we can derive the following relation

$$\nabla_d \delta g_{ab} = \nabla_d \nabla_a \xi_b + \nabla_d \nabla_b \xi_a = -2\chi_d \chi_a \chi_b \frac{\ddot{T}}{\chi_4} + 2\chi_d \chi_{(a} \varrho_{b)} \left(\frac{\dddot{T}}{\kappa \chi^2 \varrho^2} + 2\frac{\kappa \ddot{T}}{\chi^4}\right) . \tag{67}$$

After a straightforward calculation and using symmetries of E^{abcd} which are those of Riemann tensor we obtain for the first term in (52)

$$\frac{1}{2} E^{abcd} \eta_{ab} \eta_{cd} \left(2\kappa T_2 \dot{T}_1 - \frac{T_2 \dddot{T}_1}{\kappa}\right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + O(\chi^2) \ . \tag{68}$$

In fact this is the main contribution because we shall show that other terms near horizon are of the order of χ^2. That is obvious for the third term because Lagrangian is expected to be finite on horizon. The second term after using (56) and (66) reads

$$\frac{\chi_{[a}\varrho_{b]}}{\kappa \varrho^2} \left[\frac{1}{\kappa} (\dot{T}_2 \ddot{T}_1 - \dot{T}_1 \ddot{T}_2) \chi_c - (T_1 \ddot{T}_2 - T_2 \ddot{T}_1) \varrho_c\right] \nabla_d E^{abcd} \ . \tag{69}$$

We want to exploit the fact that χ is a Killing vector. For this purpose it would be desirable to connect ∇_d with ∇_χ. We assume that "spatial" derivatives are $O(\chi^2)$ near horizon (see Appendix A of [9]), which implies

$$\nabla_d E^{abcd} = \left(\frac{\chi_d \nabla_\chi}{\chi^2} + \frac{\varrho_d \nabla_\varrho}{\varrho^2}\right) E^{abcd} + O(\chi^2) \ . \tag{70}$$

From (64)

$$\nabla_\varrho = \frac{|\varrho|}{|\chi|} \nabla_\chi - |\varrho||\chi| \nabla_k \ . \tag{71}$$

This last equation because of consistency with (57) implies

$$\nabla_d E^{abcd} = \frac{\chi_d - \varrho_d}{\chi^2} \nabla_\chi E^{abcd} + O(\chi^2) \ . \tag{72}$$

We are able now to exploit the existence of Killing vector

$$\mathcal{L}_\chi E^{abcd} = 0 \ , \tag{73}$$

or

$$\nabla_\chi E^{abcd} - E^{fbcd} \nabla_f \chi^a - E^{afcd} \nabla_f \chi^b - E^{abfd} \nabla_f \chi^c - E^{afcf} \nabla_f \chi^d = 0 \ , \tag{74}$$

But due to our boundary conditions up to leading terms in χ^2

$$\nabla^a \chi^b = \frac{\kappa}{\chi^2} (\chi^a \varrho^b - \chi^b \varrho^a) \ , \tag{75}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\chi E^{abcd} = {} & \frac{\chi_{[a}\varrho_{b]}}{\varrho^6} (\alpha \chi_c + \beta \varrho_c)(\chi_d - \varrho_d) \times \\ & \times (\chi_f \varrho^a E^{fbcd} - \chi^a \varrho_f E^{fbcd} - \chi_f \varrho^b E^{facd} + \chi^b \varrho_f E^{facd} \\ & + \chi_f \varrho^c E^{fdab} - \chi^c \varrho_f E^{fdab} + \chi_f \varrho^d E^{fcba} - \chi^d \varrho_f E^{fcba}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Here

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\dot{T}_2 \ddot{T}_1 - \dot{T}_1 \ddot{T}_2), \quad \beta = -(T_1 \ddot{T}_2 - T_2 \ddot{T}_1) \ , \tag{77}$$

After multiplication we find two classes of terms. One class contains terms like

$$\frac{1}{\chi^2 \varrho^2} \chi_e \varrho_f \chi_g \varrho_h E^{efgh} = \frac{1}{\chi^2 \varrho^2} \chi_{[a}\varrho_{b]} \chi_{[c}\varrho_{d]} E^{abcd} = \frac{1}{4} \eta_{ab} \eta_{cd} E^{abcd} \ , \tag{78}$$

and such terms are finite but come always in pairs and cancel. All other terms are of the form

$$\frac{1}{\chi^4} \chi_a \chi_b \varrho_c \varrho_d E^{abcd} \ ,$$

and due to antisymmetry properties of E^{abcd} they vanish. We conclude that only first term in (52) contributes to $\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D$. Thus after antisymmetrizing in 1 and 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D = {} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{H} \cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1 \ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd} \eta_{ab} \eta_{cd} \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{\kappa} (T_1 \dddot{T}_2 - T_2 \dddot{T}_1) - 2\kappa (T_1 \dot{T}_2 - T_2 \dot{T}_1) \right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

The Noether charge

$$Q_{c_3 \ldots c_n} = -E^{abcd} \epsilon_{abc_3 \ldots c_n} \nabla_{[c} \xi_{d]} \ , \tag{80}$$

becomes after similar calculation

$$Q_{c_3 \ldots c_n} = -\frac{1}{2} E^{abcd} \eta_{ab} \eta_{cd} (2\kappa T - \frac{\ddot{T}}{\kappa}) \hat{\epsilon}_{c_3 \ldots c_n} \ , \tag{81}$$

which gives us

$$J[\{\xi_1,\xi_2\}] = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1\ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd} \times \tag{82}$$

$$\times[2\kappa(T_1\dot{T}_2 - T_2\dot{T}_1) - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\dot{T}_1\ddot{T}_2 - \ddot{T}_1\dot{T}_2 + T_1\ \dddot{T}_2 - \dddot{T}_1\ T_2)]\ . \tag{83}$$

From (79), (82) and (51) follows central charge

$$K[\xi_1,\xi_2] = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1\ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd}\frac{1}{\kappa}(\dot{T}_1\ddot{T}_2 - \ddot{T}_1\dot{T}_2)\ . \tag{84}$$

5 Entropy and Virasoro algebra

The main idea is that constraint algebra (51) can be connected to the Virasoro algebra of diffeomorphisns of the real line. For that purpose we need to introduce another condition. Denote with v the parameter of orbits of the Killing vector

$$\chi^a\nabla_a v = 1\ . \tag{85}$$

Let us consider functions T_1, T_2 of v and "Killing angular coordinates" θ_i on horizon such that they satisfy

$$\frac{1}{A}\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon} T_1(v,\theta)T_2(v,\theta) = \frac{\kappa'}{2\pi}\int dv T_1(v,\theta)T_2(v,\theta)\ . \tag{86}$$

Here $A = \int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C}\hat{\epsilon}$ is the area of the horizon and $\frac{2\pi}{\kappa'}$ is the period in variable v of functions $T(v,\theta)$. In particular for rotating black holes

$$\chi^a = t^a + \sum_i \Omega_i\psi_i^a\ , \tag{87}$$

where t^a is time translation Killing vector, ψ_i^a are rotational Killing vectors with corresponding angles ψ_i and angular velocities Ω_i. We shall sometimes, instead of variables t, ψ_i connected with orbits of t^a, ψ_i^a, work with variables (v, θ_i) connected with orbits of $\chi_a, \theta_i^a = \psi_i^a$. Then $v = t$, $\theta_i = \psi_i - \Omega_i v$, and we choose for diffeomorphism defining functions T_n

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\kappa'}e^{in(\kappa' v + \sum l_i\theta_i)}\ , \tag{88}$$

where l_i are integers. These functions are of the form

$$T_n(v,\theta) = \frac{1}{\kappa'}e^{in\kappa' v}f_n(\theta_i)\ . \tag{89}$$

They satisfy

$$\frac{1}{A}\int \hat{\epsilon} T_n T_m = \delta_{n+m,0}\frac{1}{\kappa'^2}\ , \tag{90}$$

and in particular

$$\frac{1}{A}\int \hat{\epsilon} f_n f_m = \delta_{n+m,0}\ . \tag{91}$$

At this point classical Virasoro condition can be checked in the form

$$\{T_m, T_n\} = -i(m-n)T_{m+n} \ . \tag{92}$$

We also see that condition (86) is fulfilled and thus enables us to obtain full Virasoro algebra with nontrivial central term $K[T_m, T_n]$ which can be calculated from (84)

$$iK[T_m, T_n] = \frac{\kappa'}{\kappa}\frac{\hat{A}}{8\pi}m^3\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \tag{93}$$

where

$$\hat{A} \equiv \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1\ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd} \ . \tag{94}$$

Here we have used the property that metric does not depend on variables θ_i on which diffeormophism defining functions T_n depend. That enabled us to factorize the integral in (84). Finally, we obtain the Virasoro algebra

$$\{J[\xi_1], J[\xi_2]\}_D = (m-n)J[T_{m+n}] + \frac{c}{12}m^3\delta_{m+n,0} \ , \tag{95}$$

and central charge is equal to

$$\frac{c}{12} = \frac{\hat{A}}{8\pi}\frac{\kappa'}{\kappa} \ . \tag{96}$$

Now we want to calculate the value of the Hamiltonian. This is given with the first term in relation (49) where the second term can be neglected[8]. The first term can be calculated from (81) and

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa'} \ . \tag{97}$$

Thus

$$J[T_0] = -\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1\ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd}\frac{\kappa}{\kappa'} \ , \tag{98}$$

or

$$\varDelta \equiv J[T_0] = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa'}\hat{A} \ . \tag{99}$$

We are now able to use Cardy formula (5) and obtain following expression for entropy

$$S = \frac{\hat{A}}{4}\sqrt{2 - \frac{\kappa'}{\kappa}^2} \ . \tag{100}$$

It is remarakable that entropy is proportional to classical classical entropy with a dimensionless constant of proportionality. We assume for the period of functions T_n the period of the Euclidean black hole [9, 5, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32], which implies

$$\frac{c}{12} = \varDelta \ , \tag{101}$$

and

$$S = \frac{\hat{A}}{4} = -2\pi\int_{\mathcal{H}\cap C} \hat{\epsilon}_{a_1\ldots a_{n-2}} E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd} \ . \tag{102}$$

---

[8] As in Einstein case, condition (60) enables us to factorize $\xi \cdot \varTheta$ into $E^{abcd}\eta_{ab}\eta_{cd}\delta$(terms that vanish on shell), which together with (48) implies that $\int \xi \cdot B$ vanishes on shell

As mentioned in the Introduction this derivation is valid for Lagrangian of general form $L = L(g_{ab}, R_{abcd})$. Let su take the example of Gauss–Bonnet gravity (8) Corresponding tensor E^{abcd} is then

$$E_{ab}{}^{cd} = -\Sigma_{m=0}^{[\frac{D}{2}]} m\lambda_m \frac{(-)^m}{2^m} \delta^{cdc_2d_2\ldots c_md_m}_{aba_2b_2\ldots a_mb_m} R^{a_2b_2}{}_{c_2d_2} \ldots R^{a_mb_m}{}_{c_md_m} \ . \tag{103}$$

Consequently

$$S = -4\pi \Sigma_m^{[\frac{d}{2}]} m\lambda_m \int \hat{\epsilon} L_{m-1} \ . \tag{104}$$

For the well known case of Einstein gravity

$$S = \frac{A}{4} \ , \tag{105}$$

where A is area of black hole horizon.

6 Conclusion

We conclude that idea of conformal symmetry near horizon can be useful to interpret the black hole entropy. This idea can be used in two different ways which are both described in this text and they are consistent with each other when applied to Gauss–Bonnet gravity. The second method, which can be applied in more general cases, consists in assuming appropriate boundary conditions near Killing horizon. One can then identify a subalgebra of diffeomorphism algebra as a Virasoro algebra with nontrivial central charge. From Cardy formula one can then determine the entropy. In this way we obtain the microscopic interpretation of entropy i.e. in terms of the number of states in Hilbert space. This result can be obtained for special cases of Einstein gravity [9], Gauss–Bonnet case [33, 5, 34] and for a more general class of Lagrangians [34]. It is remarkable that in all these cases including the general case treated here one obtains the classical expression for entropy [1]. These results suggest that conformal symmetry and Virasoro algebra could give further insight in exploring quantum mechanical properties of black holes. One is encouraged also to follow this approach due to recent proposals for its physical interpretation from the point of view of induced gravity [35] and an independent geometrical interpretation based on properties of the horizon [36, 37].

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the financial support under the contract No. 0119261 of Ministry of Science and Technology of Republic of Croatia.

References

1. V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 846 [arXiv:gr-qc/9403028];
   J. Lee and R. M. Wald, J. Math. Phys. **31**, 725 (1990);
   T. Jacobson, G. Kang and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6587 [arXiv:gr-qc/9312023].

2. A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. B **379** (1996) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/9601029]; J. R. David, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, Phys. Rept. **369** (2002) 549 [arXiv:hep-th/0203048];
   D. Amati and J. G. Russo, Phys. Lett. B **454** (1999) 207 [arXiv:hep-th/9901092].
3. A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 904 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9710007].
   A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and S. Fairhurst, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, L1 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9812065].
   A. Ashtekar, J. C. Baez and K. Krasnov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4**, 1 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0005126].
   A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, 419 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9905089].
4. S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Lett. B **454**, 213 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812056].
5. M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Phys. Lett. B **546** (2002) 119 [arXiv:hep-th/0207265].
6. S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 241301 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0203001].
7. S. Carlip, Phys. Lett. B **508**, 168 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0103100].
8. S. Carlip, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2828 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812013].
9. S. Carlip, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, 3327 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9906126].
10. J. L. Cardy Nucl. Phys. B **270**, 186 (1986); J. L. Cardy Nucl. Phys. B **275**, 200(1986); H. W. J. Bloete, J. L. Cardy and M. P. Nightingale, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 742 (1986).
11. S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 023521 [arXiv:hep-th/0108172].
12. M. Cvetic, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Nucl. Phys. B **628** (2002) 295 [arXiv:hep-th/0112045].
13. S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17** (2002) 4809 [arXiv:hep-th/0205187].
14. Y. M. Cho and I. P. Neupane, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 024044 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202140].
15. I. P. Neupane, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 061501 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212092].
16. T. Clunan, S. F. Ross and D. J. Smith, arXiv:gr-qc/0402044.
17. J. G. Russo, Phys. Lett. **B359**, 69 (1995).
18. T. Jacobson and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3684 [arXiv:hep-th/9305016];
    M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 5697 [arXiv:hep-th/9307194].
19. A. Giacomini and N. Pinamonti, JHEP **0302**, 014 (2003); N. Pinamonti and L. Vanzo, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 084012 (2004); A. Giacomini, Ph.D. thesis, hep-th/0403183.
20. C. Crnković, Class. Quant. Grav. **5**, 1557 (1988); E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **276**, 291 (1986).
21. B. Julia and S. Silva, arXiv:hep-th/0205072.
22. J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 207 (1986).
23. G. Kang, J. I. Koga and M. I. Park, arXiv:hep-th/0402113.
24. O. Dreyer, A. Ghosh and J. Wisniewski, Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 1929 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0101117].
25. M. I. Park and J. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 5595 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9910158];
    V. O. Soloviev, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 027502 [arXiv:hep-th/9905220].
26. M. I. Park, Nucl. Phys. B **634** (2002) 339 [arXiv:hep-th/0111224].

27. J. I. Koga, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124012 (2001) [arXiv:gr-qc/0107096].
28. A. Barvinsky, S. Das and G. Kunstatter, Found. Phys. **32**, 1851 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0209039].
29. H. A. Kastrup, Phys. Lett. B **385**, 75 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9605038].
30. J. Louko and J. Makela, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4982 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9605058].
31. J. Makela and P. Repo, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4899 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9708029].
32. M. Bojowald, H. A. Kastrup, F. Schramm and T. Strobl, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 044026 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9906105].
33. M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Phys. Lett. B **555** (2003) 248 [arXiv:hep-th/0212029].
34. M. Cvitan, S. Pallua and P. Prester, Phys. Lett. B **571** (2003) 217 [arXiv:hep-th/0306021].
35. V. P. Frolov, D. Fursaev and A. Zelnikov, JHEP **0303** (2003) 038 [arXiv:hep-th/0302207].
36. A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin and M. Visser, arXiv:gr-qc/0403026.
37. A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin and M. Visser, arXiv:gr-qc/0402069.